

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uxplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                               | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/691,259                                    | 10/22/2003    | Donald E. Weder         | 8404.008            | 5453             |
|   | 90 11/12/2004 |                         | EXAMINER            |                  |
| DUNLAP, CODDING & ROGERS P.C.<br>PO BOX 16370 |               |                         | GELLNER, JEFFREY L  |                  |
| OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73113                       |               |                         | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |               |                         | 3643                |                  |
|   |               | DATE MAR ED. 11/12/2004 |                     |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |
| Notice of Abandonment   | 10/691,259   | WEDER, DONALD E.   |  |
|   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |
|   | Gellner, Jeffrey L   | 3643   |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap   | pears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address  |  |
| This application is abandoned in view of:   | •  | •  |  |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offic     (a)    A reply was received on (with a Certificate of I period for reply (including a total extension of time of | Mailing or Transmission dated  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does   | not constitute a proper reply under 37   | 7 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection                                       |  |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37                | on consists only of: (1) a timely filed and Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); on CFR 1.114). | mendment which places the or (3) a timely filed Request for                  |  |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constit final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See   | ute a proper reply, or a bona fide atter explanation in box 7 below).                          | mpt at a proper reply, to the non-   |  |
| (d) ☐ No reply has been received.   | ,  |  |  |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8   | 55).   | •  |  |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory position [PTOL-85].  | s received on (with a Certifica eriod for payment of the issue fee (an                         | ite of Mailing or Transmission dated d publication fee) set in the Notice of |  |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance  | e of \$ is due.  |  |  |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$   |  | CFR 1.18(d), is \$   |  |
| (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no  | ot been received.  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>3.☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ<br/>Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>  | uired by, and within the three-month pe  |  |  |
| <ul><li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li><li>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li></ul>  | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Trans  | smission dated), which is  |  |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.   |  |  |  |
| 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.   | e attorney or agent of record, the assiç   | gnee of the entire interest, or all of                                       |  |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  | attorney or agent (acting in a represe   | ntative capacity under 37 CFR  |  |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfere of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim   | ence rendered on and because   | the period for seeking court review  |  |
| 7. The reason(s) below:   |  |  |  |
|   |  | Mullion Clonum  Barbara J Debnam  Management & Program Analyst               |  |